

AUG 08 2005

August 8, 2005

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION OF 07/28/05	Patent Application No 10/707,788	Applicant SHUSTOV, VALENTIN	
	Examiner Phi D. A	Art Unit 3637	

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112***

1. I agree with your quotation from 35 USC § 112.
2. I do not mind removing parenthesis on Line 1-2.
3. I strongly disagree with any attempt to treat the invention as a combination of earthquake protectors, footing, and building superstructure. A mere fact that each earthquake protector is "resting on the building footing" and "underpinning and framed into the building superstructure" just specifies:
  - Location of an individual earthquake protector in the building system.
  - Type of connections between an earthquake protector and a substructure on one side and superstructure on the other.

***Claims Objections***

1. I agree with the suggested corrections of the misprints in Line 6.
2. I agree that the Abstract is too long. Please, find below the amended Abstract.

**Abstract of Disclosure**

A manufacture called "earthquake protector" to shield a building structure, comprising two ring-shaped segmented slide tracks containing plurality of freely revolving cylindrical rollers made of hard material, the rollers in each track stretched parallel to one another, the tracks positioned above each other with their axes of rotational sliding being set horizontal and mutually orthogonal; the tracks comprising three properly configured race pads, namely: a lower pad resting on the building footing; an intermediate pad; and an upper pad supporting the building superstructure. The top surface of the lower pad and bottom surface of the intermediate pad are identical and they encompass the lower track. The top surface of the intermediate pad and bottom surface of the top pad are identical and they encompass the upper track. The pads are able to slide mutually along their tracks while a column stub